

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 81(ND)/2016

CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 31.05.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Parul Kapoor & Ors.**
Vs.
M/s. S. M. Brass House Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398 rw 402 & 403 of Companies Act 1956.

<u>S.NO.</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESIGNATION</u>	<u>REPRESENTATION</u>	<u>SIGNATURE</u>
1.	SIDDHARTH GAUTAM,	ADVOCATE.	PETITIONERS	<i>S. Gautam</i>
2.	RITESH AGRAWAL	Advocate	Respondents	<i>Ritesh</i>

ORDER

Petition mentioned.


I have heard ld. counsel for the Petitioner at some length.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has placed on record a copy of the I.T. Return filed by the Petitioner showing that she has received salary from Royale Brass House (P) Ltd., 688 Nai Basti, Devli Village, Khanpur, New Delhi. The return is for the Assessment Year 2015-16. A copy of the return is given to the ld. counsel for the petitioner.

It is pertinent to mention that 'Royal Brass House' is a rival company and the petitioner has leveled allegations against the respondents connecting respondent company to Royal Brass House.

Ld. counsel for the respondent requests for four weeks time to file reply. Let the reply be filed within four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List on 08.08.2016 at 10.30 AM.


[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN